

#### Steering Council Meeting Agenda July 18, 2025 • 8:30 – 10:30am Zoom

- 1. Call to Order/Introductions
- 2. Approval of the Agenda\*
- 3. Approval of May 16, 2025 Meeting Minutes\*
- 4. Public Comment on Agenda Items (Limit 3 minutes ea.)
- 5. Approval of Consent Agenda\*
  - a. Steering Calendar
  - b. Committee & Initiatives Updates
  - c. Budget Report: Statement of Activity
  - d. Data Reports: None
- 6. Director's Report standing item
  - a. Federal funding and advocacy work
  - b. CoC program competition
- 7. CE Workplan
- 8. Nominating committee
- 9. EOS Update
- 10. MSHDA ESG application
- 11. Any other matters by Steering Council Member(s)
- 12. Public Comment on Any Matter (Limit 3 minutes ea.)
- 13. Adjournment

Next meeting: September 19, 2025



# STEERING COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

May 16, 2025 8:30am – 10:30am

Facilitator:	Lauren VanKeulen					
Meeting Attendees:	Lauren VanKeulen, Thelma Ensink, Casey Gordon, Nicole Hofert, Ryan VerWys, Tenisa Frye, Karen Tjapkes, Nelson Soto (VAB), Ray King (VAB), Alonda Tramell, Sam Westhouse, Brianne Jurs, Jazz McKinney, Christie White, Lisa Knight,					
	Adrienne Goodstal, Lindsey Reames, Gustavo Perez, Ryan Kilpatrick, Kate Berens  Community Members: Wende Randall, Robyn Van Dyke, Maranda VanZegeren  Staff: Courtney Myers-Keaton, Alyssa Anten, Ronan Parmenter, Evan Hile					
Time Convened:	8:34am	Time Adjourned:	10:19am			

Approval of Agenda -	- standing item		
Motion by:	Ryan VerWys	Support from:	Tenisa Frye
Discussion			
Amendments			
Conclusion	Approved		
Approval of Minutes	– standing item	April 18, 2025	
Motion by:	Adrienne Goodstal	Support from:	Alonda Trammell
Discussion			
Amendments			
Conclusion	Approved		
Approval of Consent	Agenda – standing item		
Motion by:	Karen Tjapkes	Support from:	Adrienne Goodstal
Discussion			
Amendments			
Conclusion	Approved		
Public Comment on A	any Agenda Item – standing		
item			
Discussion			
None			
CoC Director's Report	- standing Item		
Discussion			

#### Discussion

- We have surpassed the goal for 100 Housed in 100 Days, and a celebration is planned for June 18.
- The CoC has joined the youth cohort for Built for Zero, and this will be a good way to segue the work we've done with chronic homelessness and apply it to youth. Participation is low in Youth Committee and this cohort might change the rhythm of meetings; further discussion will happen at Youth Committee.



# STEERING COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

May 16, 2025 8:30am – 10:30am

- Ronan has accepted the position as CE Admin Associate, and the CoC is in the process of interviewing for a new admin coordinator. The CoC also just started EOS coaching.
- CoC staff attended several conferences in the past month and made some good contacts and connections. We will not present the CE document discussed last month, but will bring to a future Steering Council meeting.
- Outreach has been working to improve collaboration and coordination, and had a meeting two months ago facilitated by RDV Corp to figure out next steps. Providers are meeting to discuss a more highly coordinated housing-focused system for outreach and determine gaps.
   Method & Craft may be assisting with this as well.
- Scenario Planning The CoC remains updated on the federal level, with no new information since the last update. Courtney recommended this committee continue advocacy efforts, and there was discussion around specific opportunities and how to best concentrate efforts.
   Courtney noted she could connect with Wende Randall (ENTF) on this.

Action Items	Person Responsible	Deadline
- Send 100 in 100 Celebration invite to Steering	- Ronan	
Council and newsletter		
<ul> <li>Bring CE document to future Steering Council</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CoC Staff</li> </ul>	
meeting		
<ul> <li>Connect with Wende around specific advocacy</li> </ul>	- Courtney	
opportunities and efforts		

#### Coordinated Entry – standing item

#### Discussion

The Coordinated Entry Committee requested Steering Council approve the policy document with changes as written, and this committee reviewed those edits. **Kate Berens motioned to approve this recommendation from Coordinated Entry, with support from Tenisa Frye; all in favor, motion passes.** 

Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline	
CoC Program Competition Debrief				
Discussion				
Courtney reviewed the scorecard from HUD on the out of 200, which is significantly above median and	•	•	•	
community. Courtney noted areas to review for improvement for the next program competition.				

#### **MSHDA Updates**

#### Discussion

Action Items

- MSHDA ESG Exhibit 1 and ESG NOFO – due June 6 – will be shared for public comment prior to submission

Deadline

Person Responsible

- MSHDA S2S (Steps 2 Stability) – due July 11 – recommendations for the application will be brought to Steering Council at a future date

Action Items Person Responsible Deadline
--



# STEERING COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

May 16, 2025 8:30am – 10:30am

#### **Any Other Matters by Steering Council Members**

#### Discussion

**Adjourn** 

- Alonda noted Dwelling Place's board will be reviewing the CE process for PSH because vacancies have been very high. There was discussion around the timing, as well as challenges for populations who use PSH and the potential to go through the IDS process, with Ryan VerWys, Thelma, and Alonda interested in participating. Courtney and Brianne will connect on this further.
- Thelma noted Degage is creating a template to get feedback on the resource count to assist in making strategic plans as a community.
- Tenisa noted summer weather usually sees increases in unsheltered individuals; there was also a brief conversation around chronic families.

Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline	
- CE Process for PSH		- Courtney,		
		Brianne		
Public Comment on Any Item				
Discussion				
Wende noted ENTF has been working with Catherine's on Medicaid advocacy and she shared a link to				
the Protect Medicaid landing page.				



## GRAND RAPIDS/WYOMING/KENT COUNTY STEERING COUNCIL ANTICIPATED TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION 2024

Updated annually. These are subject to change.

#### **JANUARY**

- Executive Officer Elections
- Point in Time Count
- Steering Council Annual Conflict of Interest Forms Completed
- Strategic Plan: Review and Update

#### **FEBRUARY**

- Steering Council Annual Membership Meeting
- City of Grand Rapids Emergency Solutions Grant Application\*
- Data Review

#### MARCH

- Project Performance Discussion
- Data Quality Committee Report
- CoC and ESG Mid-Term Monitoring
- Budget Presentation and Approval dependent upon fiduciary's timeline

#### **APRIL**

- Point in Time Count Submitted to HUD\*
- Strategic Plan Review

#### MAY

- Governance Charter Recommended Changes to CoC Membership
- Director Evaluation Initiated by Fiduciary

#### JUNE

- CoC Program Funding Process Review
- Budget Review
- Open Call for New CoC Members
- Anticipated MSHDA ESG Funding Discussion\*
- PIT Data Released\*

#### <u>JULY</u>

- NAEH Annual Conference
- Strategic Plan Review

#### **AUGUST**

- Anticipated HUD CoC Program Funding Vote\*
- System Performance Measures Reported to CoC
- MSHDA Emergency Solutions Grant Application\*

#### **SEPTEMBER**

- PIT Planning Begins

#### **OCTOBER**

- Governance Charter Review
- Fiduciary MOU and HMIS Lead Agency Review
- Strategic Plan Review

#### **NOVEMBER**

#### **DECEMBER**

- Steering Council elections (at CoC meeting)
- Director Mid-Year Evaluation Initiated by Fiduciary
- Budget Preparation Begins
- Strategic Plan: Review & Update Annual Priorities

#### AS NEEDED

- LIHTC Developer Presentations to Steering
- Formation of Nominating Committee

<sup>\*</sup>dependent on funder (federal, state, city) timelines

# Monthly Committee Updates for Steering July 2025

#### **DATA ANALYSIS**

No update received

#### **OUTREACH**

No update received

#### YOUTH COMMITTEE

- The Youth Committee has established smaller committees to focus specifically on implementing the individual goals outlined in the Coordinated Community Plan
- Broader priorities, such as expanding YAB membership and re-engaging community partners, continue to be addressed collectively by the full committee.

#### VETERANS ACTION BOARD/ENDING VETERANS HOMELESSNESS COMMITTEE

- Getting ready for Stand Down for Homeless Veterans on September 11 from 10:00 AM - 2:00 PM with Kent County Veteran Services (KCVS)
- Participated in the Michigan Campaign to End Homelessness (MCTEH) action plan focus group
- Preparing to develop and execute their own focus group for VA projects
- Continuing to attend and participate in community outreach and resource meetings

#### **Continuum of Care Grant Financial Status**

As of 6/30/2025

Grant		int Award Amount	Grant Spend To Date	d % Spent		Total Projected Expenses		erspent or nderspent)	Grant Year End Date Notes
MSHDA Pass Thru Grants									
MSHDA 2025 ESM	\$	245,000	\$ 6,89	91 3%	\$	80,085	\$	(164,915)	9/30/2025 Next FSR due July 2025
MSHDA 2024 ESF-02	\$	172,185	\$ 72,85	52 42%	\$	74,487	\$	(97,698)	9/30/2025 Next FSR due July 2025
MSHDA 2024 ESM02	\$	221,386	\$ 221,38	36 100%	\$	221,386	\$	(0)	4/30/2025 Extended and awarded an add'l \$15,094
MSHDA 2023 ESF	\$	212,175	\$ 212,17	75 100%	\$	212,175	\$	-	12/31/2024 Extended and fully spent
HWMUW (Match)	Ś	7,000	\$ 4,75	51 68%	\$	4,751	Ś	(2,249)	6/30/2025 Underspend needed to cover admin on DeVos Family Foundation grant
City of GR CDBG (Match)	\$	20,000			\$	20,000		-	6/30/2025
City of Wyoming CDBG (Match)	\$	5,000			\$	5,000		(0)	6/30/2025
HUD Planning 11.30.25	\$	395,557			\$	356,150		(39,407)	11/30/2025
HUD Planning 11.30.24	Ś	221,289			\$	221,289		0	11/30/2024
HUD SSO 5.31.2026 #1	\$	239,368			\$	203,796		(35,572)	5/31/2026 Underspend needed to cover est 2026 expenses (fcst thru Dec 2025)
HUD SSO 5.31.2026 #1 (assumed)	\$							(33,372)	
HUD SSO 1.31.2026 (assumed)	\$	68,593		0%	\$ \$	68,593 219,696		- 0	5/31/2026 Award letter not yet received
Kent County CUNP 9.30.24 (Match)		219,696							1/31/2026 Award letter not yet received
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$	20,000			\$	20,000		0 (4.454)	9/30/2024
Kent County CUNP 9.30.25 (Match)	\$	24,000			\$	22,546		(1,454)	9/30/2025
DeVos Family Foundation	\$	26,000			\$	26,000		-	12/31/2025
DeVos/Trillium Foundation 22.23	\$	330,000	\$ 260,64	17 79%	\$	319,891	\$	(10,109)	1/31/2026 Underspend needed to cover Jan 2026 expenses (fcst only thru Dec 2025)
HMIS, HUD 11.30.24	\$	100,000	\$ 100,00	00 100%	\$	100,000	\$	0	11/30/2024
HMIS, HUD 11.30.25	\$	138,304	\$ 75,18	30 54%	\$	138,304	\$	(0)	11/30/2025
Homelessness Prevent Pgm 23.24	\$	323,631	\$ 323,63	31 100%	\$	323,631	\$	-	9/30/2024 Fully spent.
Housing Navigation Pgm 23.25	\$	240,000	\$ 235,72	29 98%	\$	235,729	\$	(4,271)	8/31/2025 TSA still tracking for complete spend down.
HUD SSO 5.31.2025 #1 (HAP)	\$	228,488	\$ 228,48	38 100%	\$	228,488	\$	0	5/31/2025 Subawarded \$180,000 to TSA.
HUD SSO 5.31.2025 #2 (CE)	\$	65,950	\$ 65,95	100%	\$	65,950	\$	-	5/31/2025 Subawarded \$63,450 to TSA.
HUD SSO 1.31.2025 #3 (CE)	\$	210,139	\$ 210,13	39 100%	\$	210,139	\$	0	1/31/2025
Fotal	\$	3,833,761	\$ 2,588,68	35	\$	3,478,087	\$	(355,674)	
CoC Fund Balance									
fund Balance @ 6/30/20 Add:	\$	-					Cach	100 in 100 Donations	O Summary Expenses
HWMUW grant fye 6.30.21 (not spent)		6,600					Casii	Donations	expenses
Mission Matters - Strategic planning		(4,000)				July	\$	102.50	
Comm Solutions Int'l income		1,000				August		5,157.60	
CoC Fund Balance 6/30/22	\$	3,600	:			Sept		165.33	
NPTA remaining		100				Oct		986.07 1,376.51	\$ 970.36 958.94
Kent County ESG (admin) Misc small grant closeout adj		(909) (591)				Nov Dec		9,094.34	958.94 42.61
CoC Fund Balance 6/30/23	\$	2,200	•			Jan		3,034.34	4.10
Misc small grant closeout adj	<u> </u>	(1,705)	:			Feb			195.22
CoC Fund Balance 1/31/2025	\$	495	•			May		2,000.00	10,000.00
Misc small grant closeout adj's		(495)				Total	\$	18,882.35	\$ 12,171.23
CoC Fund Balance 2/28/2025	\$	(0)	•						

Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps				
	<u>Access</u>							
Move-In Readiness *this would increase functionality across homeless response system*	There is a system wide focus on getting households ready to move into housing, including housing via CE resources. This allows households to move more quickly into housing either through system resources or on their own.	Sometimes - dependent on provider and/or program	Everyone in homeless response system is connected to someone to help them navigate the system. Staff are available at all ES/SO providers to do CE assessment and in addition help with housing navigation (vital docs, homeless verification, housing search, etc.) This likely would require increased ES/SO/system staffing capacity.	·				
Outreach Deployment *this would increase functionality across homeless response system*	(dependent on capacity/ structure of local outreach system). This would allow the	No outreach deployment in place but the information exists or could be collected by CE. Notification of encampment clean- ups exists in outreach workflow	Outreach system has on-call, deployable outreach (would require change in existing outreach structures and potentially additional staffing capacity)	CoC Director (and CoC Operations Director?) discuss/implement changes to outreach processes Identify outreach staffing levels needed for robust coverage and deployment Continue conversations with TSA Director re: intake staffing responsibilities				
Clear and transparent for participants	CE effectively screens out household who are not eligible for housing resources through CE and clearly communicates expectations. This ensures clarity for participants and increases data accuracy.	Could be improved	Utilize system-wide language like: "Housing resources are not immediately available and are not guaranteed" "You've completed an assessment and are all set. You will hear from someone if you receive a housing resource. You can re-do an assessment in 30-90 days or if you housing situation changes before then." "if you receive a housing resource, you will need to provide documentation for someone who can verify your housing situation, is there someone who could do this for you?" o Limit to those currently in Kent County	CE Program Manager develop suggested language changes/additions to current assessment form				

	Access (continued)						
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps			
Accessible	A low-to-no tech option(s) are available to ensure that everyone has access to CE.	Yes - In-person and phone assessments available; screen reader and translation capability with current assessment; CE agencies have translation contracts if needed	Ensure accessibility remains and continually seek opportunities to increase accessibility	Monitor and address as needed			
VSP (Victim Service Provider) Integration	Allows for VSPs to easily help survivors access the system, if they choose. This ensures survivors have access to resources throughout the system.	Yes, but room for improvement		Research processes used in other communities			
	D : 11 /D ::	_	ssessment				
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps			
Assessment Service Standards	MOU or service standards for CE partners with expectations for information completed at CE assessment to ensure that all assessments are completed in the same way.	No, though there is general processes in place	All partners doing CE assessments collect high quality data in a standardized manner.	CE Program Manager develop draft standards			
Proactively considers housing choice	Considers a household's desired housing characteristics when determining whether to refer to a resource to ensure all referrals are high-quality	Somewhat, participant is able to decline resource with HAP or housing provider	Housing choice information is collected at assessment and informs prioritization and referral	Consider building assessment in HMIS and/or research other ways to collect this information (including best practices for ensuring accurate information)			

	Assessment (continued)							
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps				
Updated assessment	Staff time spent on data entry decreases, this allows for staff to focus on CE implementation	No	Staff time is spent on implementing CE workflows as well as monitoring data quality	Consider changes to assessment processes to reduce manual data entry.				
	Assessment includes risk and vulnerability factors agreed upon by the community.	Yes and there have been requests to revisit assessment factors	Assessment is based on local priorities and initiatives and CE policy	Convene small group to review assessment				
		<u>Pi</u>	ioritization					
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps				
Based on up-to-date		Sometimes, if participants are connected to an agency staff member and other HMIS entries exist	Everyone in homeless response system is connected to someone to help them navigate the system and who is aware of their destination when they are no longer unhoused in Kent County.	CoC/system increase staffing capacity. This likely requires increased funding.				
housing status	homeless response system). This ensures that only eligible households are prioritized for resources.		CE data in HMIS reliably tracks changes in homeless status for all households	Determine next steps to implement HMIS CE entries where participants are enrolled until their housing crisis is resolved.				
			Referral					
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps				
Referrals are filled quickly	Up-to-date contact information is known to the system including backup and provider contacts	Yes and could be improved	System-wide processes in place where ES/SO staff members are able to assist with referral contact attempts. This is most effective if there is capacity at ES/SO providers for all participants to be connected to a staff member.	For now, ensure more reliably up-to-date contact information (including multiple methods) is included in database along with case manager/ES/SO staff connection, if present. If increased system staff is online, develop updated workflow.				

	Referral (continued)							
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps				
Referrals are filled quickly (continued)	Ensure that households spend less time experiencing homelessness and providers spend less time with vacancies.	Could be improved	Reduced length of time from openings submitted to CE and referrals sent to housing provider.	Explore workflow changes to more quickly identify prioritized and eligible households.				
Referrals to VSPs	CE refers category 4 households to any DV-specific resource, including those at VSPs	Could be improved - currently requires connection with VSP prior to referral to a VSP	Systems exists where all category 4 households across the system are prioritized and referred to any resource for category 4 households, including resources housed at VSPs	Research processes used in other communities				
			General					
Feature	Details/Rationale	Currently included?	Ideal Future State	Potential Action Steps				
System Visibility	Shelter and outreach partners can add/update households in the system as well as access information on next steps This ensures access for all and allows partners to most effectively help	in entry/exit and/or CHC. Room for improvement with visibility of household	There is broad understanding of next steps and contacts in the referral process. Participants and providers can reference a listing of all available housing resources including eligibility criteria, services offered, and next steps if connected to that resource.	CE Program Manager continue working on a resource book listing of all CE housing resources and including services offered, eligibility criteria, and next steps/contact information if a referral is made.				
	clients navigate the system		Notification of relevant provider(s) when someone is referred to a resource	Explore workflow where CE Admin Associate regularly communicates with all providers about referrals				
Incorporates feedback from those with lived experience	Ensures that our system functions effectively and equitably	Could be improved	Regular, high quality opportunities are available for participants to provide feedback on their experience	Research processes used in other communities, including 90-day follow-up calls.				