

# FY2021 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATION

AGENCY PROFILE				
Legal Name of Agency Community Rebuilders				
Project Name	LOFT Combined			
Project Start Date	02/01			
Contact Person	Jeffery King			
Title	Divisional Director			
Address	1120 Monroe Avw NW #220 Grand Rapids MI 49503			
Email	JKing@communityrebuilders.org			
Phone	616-458-5102			

# Check one: ✓ Permanent Supportive Housing Rapid Re-Housing Transitional Housing Joint Transitional Housing / Rapid Re-Housing Renewal Application Option (check one): ✓ Standard Renewal (no change from FY2020) Consolidation (must complete Renewal applications for each project) Expansion (must complete New Project Application in addition)

Authorized Representative: I hereby certify that the information contained in this proposal is true and accurate. Any falsification of information will render the application void, and the application will not be accepted. This application has been reviewed and authorized for submission by the agency's board of directors as of the date indicated.

Name: ANNA DIAZ	Title: VICE PRESIDENT
Date of Board/Local Planning Body Authorization:	
Date of Anticipated Board/Local Planning Body Authorization:	10/14/22

All projects requesting renewal must demonstrate they have met minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards to be considered for funding. For each data-related question below, domestic violence service providers may use data generated from a comparable database to HMIS.

### **GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION**

1a. Provide a narrative describing how the project's performance met the plans and goals established in the current project's application, the project's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living, and record of success. (Include focus populations and preferences as specified and/or allowed by the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) under which the project was initially funded.) If the renewing project has not yet started, provide a narrative of anticipated performance in these same areas based on experience with other related projects. (1000 word limit)

LOFT (Long-Term Opportunities for Tenancy) is a permanent supportive housing project designed to provide scattered site leasing assistance and supportive services for those individuals who are chronically homeless as defined by HUD. This project was first funded by HUD as a bonus project to help accomplish the goal of addressing chronic homelessness in Kent County. Services are provided using a strengths-based, housing first model with the goal of assisting project participants to maintain permanent housing and increase their self-sufficiency.

Participants who enter this project must have a permanent disability of long and continued duration. In addition, they must have been continuously homeless for a year or more or have had four or more episodes of homelessness within the last 3 years. Most enter the program after living on the streets and other places unfit for human habitation. The project has the benefit of offering leasing assistance vs. rental assistance. This can be particularly helpful in a community allowing for the organization, Community Rebuilders, to hold the lease and allow the program participant to have a sublease. This is sometime necessary when seeking out a rental unit for persons who have been chronically homeless as local landlords can be reluctant to offer a lease to persons who have histories common within this population.

HRS staff engage eligible participants through outreach activities on the streets and in the local missions, further promoting access to the LOFT program for those who are difficult to reach and have minimal contact with homeless service providers. Through use of the strengths—based housing-first HRS model this project ensures that this most vulnerable population receives the most cost-effective intervention by immediately linking the participant to stable housing of their choice within the private rental market. HRS work with each participant to identify rental housing of their choice. Participants benefit from the opportunity to engage in pre-tenancy planning to select housing in the areas that are most convenient for them and near areas they frequent. The foundation of the strengths-based approach and the role of the HRS is a strong, trusting and respectful relationship with participants.

This approach promotes service engagement and results in participants having greater ability to adhere to lease requirements and accomplish their goals for improved self-sufficiency.

Community Rebuilder has long-standing relationships with private landlords in the local area that make it possible for participants to have choices in the private rental market. Housing resource specialist assist households by completing an assessment to identify housing history, past strengths and barriers to housing. The assessment includes information such as patterns and risks, what worked well in previous housing situations and what hindered their ability to maintain housing.

HUD required Housing Quality Inspections are completed by the HRS and serve as a great learning opportunity for participants to learn the legal obligations of their landlord and understand their right to have safe and decent housing. Ongoing supportive services are provided as needed and a housing goal and action plan is reviewed regularly to promote long term housing stability.

The philosophy of this project relies on the belief that people experiencing homelessness have the right to self-determination and should be treated with dignity and respect and as such the housing and services provided depend on the needs and preferences of each household served. The success of this program is evidenced by the housing stability obtained by program participants and the ability to prevent participants returns to homelessness.

Participants are assisted to identify mainstream resources and entitlements benefits for which they are eligible. In addition, referrals are made to community employment services when appropriate and desired. Individualized plans are created with participants based on the HRS standards of care. As partners, the participant and HRS create comprehensive housing plan that includes ongoing budget and financial planning as needed. Participants are encouraged to identify goals and objectives that meet their personal needs to increase their income and ability to live independently and are supported in achieving their goals.

One way we have worked to ensure that all needs are known and have the opportunity to be addressed is through the GRACE network which is a collaboration of community service providers. Through identifying each individual household's Social Determinates of Health (SDOH) we are able to ensure that our HRS staff and participants can work together to tailor a plan that encompasses all of their needs and thereby increase health and wellness.

LOFT participants are asked to complete a confidential survey about their HRS. In 2020-2021 survey results 100% of survey respondents reported that Community Rebuilders helped them to obtain decent, safe and sanitary housing of their choosing. In addition to surveys, focus groups and data analysis is used to validate and improve the work of all Community Rebuilders projects. In one consumers own words; "I am more relaxed! It took a lot a year's off me, being homeless, and I am not homeless anymore. I can get to my doctors' appointments now with transportation assistance from Priority Health."

This includes an effort to identify and address racial inequalities in our community and continually monitor demographics to ensure that we are serving all groups fairly and equitably. In 2020-2021, LOFT served the following demographics: Black/African American: 72%, White: 24%, Multiple races: 4%, Hispanic/Latino: 4%.

While the program closed out at full capacity, LOFT had a utilization rate of 58% due to the expansion grant awarded and LOFT adding 12 households to the existing 11.. These beds were remained while staff worked to fill them with referrals obtained through the coordinated entry process. Due to the COVID-19 health pandemic the 2019 CoC LOFT grant agreement was executed by our HUD field representative on June 19, 2020, nearly 5 months after our project start date. As a result, leasing activities and the subsequent expenditure of leasing funds were delayed, resulting in \$34,407.00 being recaptured by HUD. All other project outcomes, including number of households served, were met by project close-out.

1b. Use the last completed grant year APR for this and all other data/outcome measure questions. If the renewing project has not yet started, indicate the planned number of units.

Please provide project start and end date:  $\frac{2/1/2020-1/31/2021}{2}$ 

Planned Number of Households Served	Actual Number of Households Served	Number of Stayers	Number of Leavers
23	23	25	1

2. Has the p	roject had any significant changes since the last funding appr	oval?				
☐ Yes	$\square$ Yes $\blacksquare$ No If "yes", complete the chart below to indicate the change.					
Cl I						
Check						
change		Previous	New			
type						
	Decrease in the number of persons served					
	Change in number of units					
	Change in project site location					
	Change in focus population					
	Change in component type					
	Change in grantee/applicant					
	Line item or cost category budget changes more than 10%					
	Other:					

If change	was made, incl	ude as many of the follow	ving that apply as attachme	nts to your application:		
Attached (check)						
	Attachment: Written communication to HUD requesting the significant change					
	Attachment:	HUD's written approval o	of the change requested			
	N/A: HUD ha	s not yet provided writter	n approval of the requested	d change		
		SECTION I: Pro	ject Effectiveness			
			rans, Chronically Homeless units dedicated or prioritize			
			Number of Units			
		Dedicated	Dedicated Plus	Prioritized		
Veterans						
Chronicall	y Homeless	27				
Families						
Youth						
Domestic	Violence					
4. Housing F		re that participants are N	OT screened out (or			
denied	project entry) (	due to the following:	·			
Having too	o little or not e	nough income		■ Yes □ No		
Active sub	stance use or h	nistory of substance use		■ Yes		
Having a c	riminal record	(other than for state-mar	ndated restrictions)	<b>■</b> Yes		
History of abuse)	victimization (	e.g. domestic violence, se	xual assault, childhood	□ No □ Yes □ No		
	b. Does the project ensure that participants are not terminated from the program for the following reasons?					
Failure to	Failure to participate in supportive services					
Failure to	Failure to make progress on a service plan					
Loss of inc	Loss of income or failure to improve income					
Any other	Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found for					
	•	e project's geographic are	* * *	□ No		
unassisted persons in the project s geographic area						

c. In addition to the answers above, does the project follow a "Housing First" Approach? Please describe. (500 word limit)

Community Rebuilders aims to make homelessness rare, brief and nonrecurring. Homelessness is first and foremost a housing crisis and can be addressed through the provision of safe and affordable housing. That is why we use a Housing First approach. We are "consumer ready" - participants do not have to address other problems, such as mental health issues, or complete treatment services prior to being housed. Sobriety and criminal history do not prevent participants from successfully connecting to housing.

Community Rebuilders has been providing housing first services in the community for 15 years. This work has been guided by the belief that people need a safe place of their choosing to live before attending to anything less critical, such as getting a job, budgeting properly, or attending to substance use issues. The Housing First approach views housing as the foundation for life improvement and enables access to permanent housing without prerequisites or conditions beyond those of a typical renter.

We commit to all of the persons we serve, that we shall not;

- 1. Require people experiencing homelessness to address all of their problems, including behavioral health problems, or to graduate through a series of service programs before they can access housing
- 2. Mandate participation in services either before obtaining housing or in order to retain housing

Our approach is validated by data and the feedback of our consumers.

Community Rebuilders most recently completed an independent evaluation of Housing First services in March of 2021. 47 households were included in the independent 3rd party evaluation conducted by Public Sector Consultants with support from an Invest Health grant provided through Spectrum Health Healthier Communities.

Overall outcomes indicated that highly vulnerable households were successfully able to obtain the safe and decent housing of their choosing - then connect to critical services in the community that support health, well-being and long-term housing stability.

Demographics for the head of household in the evaluation group.

Black or African American 70% White 30% Male 50% Female 50% Median Age 51

At entry, 53% of evaluation households had experienced four or more episodes of homelessness and 30% had three or more disabling conditions. In total, 88% of households met the HUD definition of chronic homelessness.

Through the implementation of a Housing First model, program participants were assisted with quickly increasing their income (38%), improving their health and access to healthcare (51%) and improving access to food and transportation (51% and 50% respectively). Across all households served in similar Housing First projects, 97.6% of households remained in their project or exited from their project to stable housing.

Our Housing First approach works because it prioritizes access to safe and affordable housing that is chosen by the service recipient. That choice supports neighborhood and community integration, honors self-determination and ensures every household can identify housing that meets their unique needs.

Housing First is a proven solution to dissolve homelessness. Community Rebuilders is a HUD Best Practice Award recipient, and our approach has served as a model for communities across the country.

### 5. Supportive Services

Does the project include the following supportive service activities?	
Transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?	■ Yes □ No
At least annual follow-ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed?	■ Yes □ No
Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a subrecipient, or partner agency?	■ Yes □ No
Has the staff person providing the technical assistance completed SOAR training in the past 24 months.	■ Yes □ No

6. Describe how the project aligns with the objectives and goals outlined in the <u>CoC Compass</u>. (500 word limit; not scored.)

Community Rebuilders specializes in providing solutions for ending homelessness and increasing community well-being. We use data to direct and assess our impact, ensuring our projects produce equitable outcomes; including the overall equity of core CoC vitals. We seek perspectives of person with lived experience. We implement practices that foster and strengthen equity. Our programs facilitate access to essential services, reduce destabilization and foster community. This is all while making the rental market accessible and affordable.

Our work is driven by the effort to make homelessness rare, brief, and non-recurring throughout the community. This is made possible through the belief that housing is a basic human right that everyone should have access to without pre-condition. We value sharing openly and transparently the data and outcomes of our projects and services.

7.	All recipients of HUD CoC Program funding are required to participate in Coordinated Entry. Did the
	project take 100% of all referrals from Coordinated Entry (or community process if Category 4
	homeless) in the past grant year or will it once the grant year begins? (Verified by HMIS reports)
	■ Yes □ No

8. Describe the training applicant and sub-applicant staff have undergone or will undergo as well as agency policies or procedures related to diversity, equity, and inclusion as it pertains to service provision. (500 word limit)

Community Rebuilders' success is built on a firm philosophical foundation that relies on the resiliency and strengths of the individuals served and our community. In 2006, we adopted a housing first, strengths-based model of service delivery and created a Housing Resource Specialist certification that has been duplicated across the state and in locations across the country.

The Housing Resource Specialist (HRS) certification is built upon a social justice framework that honors self-determination; equitable partnerships and acknowledgement of historical injustices.

Training is rooted in a strength-based approach from a social justice perspective. This evidenced-based approach includes intersecting sets of practices, including; fostering authentic democratic relationships, exploration of strengths and the power of natural support systems.

Community Rebuilders and sub-grantee staff receive quarterly trainings; including cultural competency. This training helps staff to avoid well-intentioned but alienating behavior and teaches to pay attention to those cues that speak discomfort. Training emphasizes respect and acceptance of our consumers as collaborators determining their outcomes. This model encourages staff to adopt a more dynamic interactive view of culture and communication while paying attention to important cues that could improve the helping process. Training staff how to make this collaboration happen is the cornerstone to creating culturally competent services.

Our monitoring of sub-grantee's includes evaluation of outcomes and metrics on the demographics of households served, ensuring equity in performance measures.

We observe consumer feedback surveys ensure we continually meet or exceed project goals. These project goals are driven by equity in outcomes of program participants served. This includes evaluating key performance indicators such as the rate of positive exits from services based on race and ethnicity.

Exit rates based on race from all Community Rebuilders projects: January 1, 2019 - June 30, 2021.\*

All persons served 94.7%

Non-white (HUD) 95.6%

White (HUD) 92.6%

\*HMIS

We gain wisdom from the experience of the consumers we serve, the community at large, promising and evidenced-based practices, and out of the box ideas that may seem nontraditional but just might work. This makes us a flexible and nimble organization with diverse partnerships and ideas. We believe and have demonstrated that with collaboration and commitment to our core values we can make homelessness rare, brief and nonrecurring.

We are deliberate in considering how actions, programs and policies impact different social groups and understand that effective and meaningful social equity practices are not void of historical and social context.

We engage in ongoing efforts to produce a culture that values social equity. A culture which values issues of equity dedicates resources (human and financial) to develop strategies to implement highly comprehensive approaches for social equity measurement.

We understand that effective and meaningful social equity practices are not void of historical and social context. Considerations of both historical and social contexts offer meaning to measurement data and a clearer picture to evaluate and understand shifts in equity. Ultimately, it is only when equity is experienced by those who are most marginalized, underrepresented or not represented that true social equity is achieved.

9. What was the project's	utilization rate? (Average of Quarterly Point- in-Time Counts in	APR	8b divide	ed by
total contracted units.)	58%			

10. Expenditure of Funds: Use last completed HUD FY year.

a. Total amount authorized within eLOCCS	390,512
b. Remaining balance in eLOCCS	34,407
c. Percentage recaptured Divide answer b. by answer a. and multiply by 100	8.8%

11.	Were drawdowns ma	ide to eLOCCS at	least quarterly?	) (Demonstrated	in eLOCCS attach	ment)
	■ Yes □	No				

**HMIS Participation** (If the renewing project has not completed a full year, share information from the last completed year of another HUD funded project or similarly designed project through this agency)

12. Indicate how many APR Data Quality Elements (DQE) have 5% or less null or missing values (APR Q06; use data from alternative system if DV program):

Data Quality Element APR 6a6d.					
Number of elements with 5% or less null or missing values					
DQE 6a. DQE 6b. DQE 6c. DQE 6d.					
6 5 4 3					
Total the numbers above	Total the numbers above, divide by 18, multiply by 100 for a percent: 100%				

HUE	) Monitoring				
13.			monitoring f	indings in any of the agend	cy's projects?
	☐ Yes  No  If yes, explain below findings in detail for the Funding Review Panel. Include details on the  Note of the Funding Review Panel. Include details on the  Note of the Funding Review Panel. Include details on the  Note of the Funding Review Panel. Include details on the  Note of the Funding Review Panel.  Note of the				
	•			ective actions taken, if any.	
ŀ	b. Has your orga	anization been monito	red by HUD i	in the past three (3) years?	?
		Yes □ No	-		
,	<b>If yes</b> include a	s attachments: Monite	oring report f	from HUD, your organizati	on's response to any
,	findings, do	cumentation from HU		g or concern has been sat	
		cumentation.			
,		_		other than HUD for feder	
				toring report, your organiz ng or concern has been sa	
		cumentation.	ity that illiai	ing of concern has been sa	tistied, and any other
	relevant do				
	pact on Homel				
		tion(s) does the projec	ct serve? (not	t scored, taken into conside	eration in a tie score
	situation)				
	Chronically ho	omeless 🔽 Fa	milies	V	
	Veterans	☐ Yo	outh (18-24)		
	Domestic Vio	lence 🗸			
ĺ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A	Labla Cara al
	Total units	ope of Project		Annual Housel Households with at	noias Servea
	Total units	23		least one adult and one	1
				child	
	Total beds	27		Adult households	22
		27		without children	22
Se	rving High Nee	d Populations (If the re	enewing pro	ject has not completed a fu	ull year, share information
		•	٠, ,	d project or similarly design	•
ag	ency)				
15.	•	•		et "hard to serve" criteria o	_
i	ncome at start,	<sup>r</sup> entry? (APR 18. Add v	alues for No	Income and divide by total	l in third to last row):
	33%				
	· ·	_		"hard to serve" criteria de	=
				at start/entry (APR 13.a.2.	add totals for two and
t		onditions, then divide I	by total):		
	57%				

17. What percentage of the households served were chronically homeless? (APR Q26a. divide total chronically homeless households by total households): 100%
18. What percentage of the households served were families experiencing homelessness? (APR Q8a. divide total households with adults and children by total households): 4%
Section II. Project Performance
<b>Performance Data</b> (If the renewing project has not completed a full year, share information from the lacompleted year of another HUD funded project or similarly designed project through this agency)
19. Length of Stay (Joint TH/RRH projects – complete either option B or Chelow)
<ul> <li>a. Permanent Supportive Housing: Calculate the percentage of leavers that remained in project more than 180 days (APR 22a.1 add the number of leavers staying 181 days or more and divide by total number of leavers)</li> <li>100%</li> </ul>
b. Rapid Re-Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants that took 60 days or less from project entry to lease up (APR 22c add the number of persons who moved in in 60 days or less and divide by total persons moved into housing)
c. Transitional Housing: Calculate the average length of project stay in days (APR 22b)
20. Exits to Permanent Housing (Joint TH/RRH projects – complete either option B or Chelow)
a. Permanent Supportive Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants who remained in project, or exited to positive housing destinations. ([Total persons exiting to a positive housing destination [APR 23c.] + total number of stayers [5a.9.]] divided by [total persons served [APR 5a.1] - Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation [APR 23.c.]])
100%
b. Rapid Re-Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants who exited to positive housing destinations (APR 23c)
c. Transitional Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants who exited to positive housing destinations (APR 23c)

21. New or Increased Income and Earned Income
<ul> <li>a. PSH Only Project Stayers: What percent of project stayers had new or increased earned income within the project contract year? APR 19a1 row 1, last column</li> </ul>
0%
<ul> <li>b. PSH Only Project Stayers: What percent of project stayers had new or increased other (non-employment) income? APR 19a1 row 3, last column</li> </ul>
78%
c. Project Leavers: What percent of project leavers had new or increased earned income? APR19a2 row 1, last column
0%
<ul> <li>d. Project Leavers: What percent of project leavers had new or increased other (non-employment) income? APR 19a2 APR 19a1 row 3, last column</li> </ul>

# **Financial Information**

# **PROJECT BUDGET**

0%

Activity	Requested Funds	% of Requested Funds	Other Funding	Total Project Cost
Acquisition	\$	%	\$	\$
New Construction	\$	%	\$	\$
Rehabilitation	\$	%	\$	\$
Leasing	\$ 212,483.00	54 %	\$	\$ 212,483.00
Rental Assistance	\$	%	\$	\$
Supportive Services	\$ 103,332.00	27 %	\$	\$ 103,332.00
Operating Costs	\$ 37,959.00	10 %	\$	\$ 37,959.00
HMIS	\$ 11,773.00	3 %	\$	\$ 11,773.00
Project Administration (limited to 7%)	\$ 24,965.00	6 %	\$	\$ 24,965.00
Total Project Cost	\$ 390,512.00	100 %	\$	\$ 390,512.00

# Attachment A

Identify all match and leveraging funds. Only those dollars or non-cash contributions (in-kind) that directly support the project should be listed. This may include federal, state, or local government funds, private funds, grants, and/or other sources, including donations. Worksheet should reflect information in eSnaps application.

Match must be at least 25% of total funding requested. Documentation of match must be provided with the application.

Resource	Cash or In Kind	Committed or Planned/ Pending	Available (MM/YY)	Amount/ Value	% of HUD Project Award	Serves as CoC Program Match? (Y/N)
Program Income	■ Cash □ In Kind	■ C □ PP	02/01/2022	\$ 44,507	% 25	■ Yes □ No
	☐ Cash ☐ In Kind	□ C □ PP		\$	%	☐ Yes ☐ No
	☐ Cash ☐ In Kind	□ C □ PP		\$	%	☐ Yes ☐ No
	☐ Cash ☐ In Kind	□ C □ PP		\$	%	□ Yes □ No
	☐ Cash ☐ In Kind	□ C □ PP		\$	%	☐ Yes ☐ No
	☐ Cash ☐ In Kind	□ C □ PP		\$	%	☐ Yes ☐ No
	☐ Cash ☐ In Kind	□ C □ PP		\$	%	☐ Yes ☐ No
		Total leveraged	from other sources	\$ 45,476	% 25	

Attach additional forms as necessary

### Attachment B

Attachments listed below are required but unscored. Failure to include any documentation that is required may result in disqualification of the application. *Please submit each document as a separate attachment numbered in accordance with the list below.* 

# All projects must include:

■ #1: Annual Progress Report (APR) for the project's most recent completed contract year, or the most recently completed contract year for another HUD-funded project or similar project if the renewing project has not yet completed a full year. Other structured outcome report for non-HMIS participating agencies are allowed (i.e. domestic violence agencies).
■ #2: Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS) report showing drawdowns and final balance
■ #3: Project Application submitted in <i>e-snaps</i>
■ #4: Documentation of all match
Each applicant must include one of the following two (#5):
$\square$ Monitoring report from US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
■ Monitoring report from an entity other than HUD for federal or state funding (ESG, CDBG, etc.)
If relevant include (#6):
☐ A: Organization's response to any findings
$\square$ B: Documentation from HUD (or other entity) that finding or concern has been satisfied
$\square$ C: Any other relevant documentation
$\square$ D: Written communication to HUD requesting the significant change indicated in question2.
$\square$ E: HUD's written approval of the change requested in question 2.

### Attachment C

## **General Administration Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards**

The agency certifies to the Grand Rapids Area Coalition to End Homelessness that it and its principals are in compliance with the following requirements as indicated by checking the box.

- Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity. See the Federal Register dated February 1, 2012, Docket No. FR 5359-F-02 and Section 2 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. See Section 1.b of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights. See Section 1.a. of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Executive Order 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency (LEP). See Section 1.d. of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-income Persons (Section 3). See Section 1.c. of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Accessible Technology. See Section 1.e. of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Core Values and Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct. See Section 14 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards Ensuring the Participation of Small Disadvantaged Businesses, and Women Owned Business. See Section 3 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Equal Participation of Faith-based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities. See Section 4 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Real Property Acquisition and Relocation. See Section 5 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- OMB Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. See Section 7 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Eminent Domain. See Section 11 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities. See Section 12 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Participation in HUD-Sponsored Program Evaluation. See Section 6 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards

- Environmental Requirements. Notwithstanding provisions at 24 CFR 578.31 and 24 CFR 578.99(a) of the CoC Program interim rule, and in accordance with Section 100261(3) of MAP-21 (Pub. L. 112-141, 126 Stat. 405), activities under this NOFA are subject to environmental review by a responsible entity under HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58. Yes
- *Drug-Free Workplace.* See Section 8 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Safeguarding Resident/Client Files. See Section 9 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards *Compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 209-282) (Transparency Act), as amended.* See Section 10 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Lead-Based Paint Requirements. For housing constructed before 1978 (with certain statutory and regulatory exceptions), CoC Program recipients must comply with the requirements of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. 4801, et seq.), as amended by the Residential Lead-Based. No
- Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 4851, et seq.); and implementing regulations of HUD, at 24 CFR part 35; the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at 40 CFR part 745, or State/Tribal lead rules implemented under EPA authorization; and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration at 29 CFR 1926.62 and 29 CFR 1910.1025. No
- Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013: Implementation in HUD Housing Programs (24 CFR Parts 5, 91, 92, 93, 200, 247, 547, 576, 880, 882, 883, 884, 886, 891, 905, 960, 966, 982, and 983). See Section 6 of the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards
- Attestation that all attachments as required by HUD are uploaded in *e-snaps*. See Notice of Funding Opportunity for the 2021 Continuum of Care Program Competition FR-6500-N-25.

This list is not exhaustive of all HUD requirements. Applicants are encouraged to review the General Administrative Requirements and Terms for HUD Financial Assistance Awards, found at: <a href="https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/spm/gmomgmt/grantsinfo/fundingopps">https://www.hud.gov/program\_offices/spm/gmomgmt/grantsinfo/fundingopps</a> to ensure eligibility.

Agend	y: Community Rebuilders
7.60.10	,
Ackno	wledged By:
Title:	VERA BEECH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Date:	10/11/21