

FY2021 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION NEW/BONUS PROJECT SCORECARD

Applicant and Project Name:				
Rater Name:	Date Reviewed:		_	
Project Quality Requirements				
All new and bonus projects must pass threshold requirements before being considered for funding on the merit of the project. Threshold requirements are pass/fail	Maximum Score Possible		162	
rather than scored.	Total Project Score			
Does the project meet all eligibility and quality threshold requirements? ☐ Yes ☐ No	Weighted Rating Score (Total Project Score / Maximum Score Possible x 100)			
Section I: Project Overview		Possibl Points:		Section Score:
1.a. Description of project: Does it meet the needs of the conscious Score according to how well the project design demonstrate 2 pts = Fairly-demonstrated, 1 pt = Poorly-demonstrated; 0 pts = Fairly-demonstrated; 0 pts	s the following crit	teria (3 p	ts = Wel	
The project serves a HUD-defined high p				
Understanding of the needs of the focus popul	ation to be served		3	
Type, scale, and location of housing fits the	ne needs of those to be served	3	3	
Type and scale of supportive services, regardless of	f funding source		3	
How assistance in obtaining mainstream be	nefits is provided	3	3	
1.b. Description of the plan to assist participants in securing and maintaining PH that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. (5 pts = Extensive Plan; 3 pts = Adequate Plan; 1 pt = Poor Plan; 0 pts = No plan)		Ę	5	
1.c. Description of how participants will be assist benefits of mainstream health, social, and employm which they are eligible to apply to maximize the social of the social section.	ent programs for heir ability to live independently.	5	5	
(5 pts = Extensive Plan; 3 pts 1 pt = Poor Plan	= Adequate Plan; ; 0 pts = No plan)			
2. Describe how the project aligns with the objectives and t t (5 pts = Thorough Alignment; 3 pts = 1 pt = Little Alignment; 0	he <u>CoC Compass</u> . Some Alignment;	5	5	
				i

Section II: Experience 3. Experience of the applicant (and any sub-recipients) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application (15 pts = Extensive Experience; 10 pts = Moderate Experience; 5 pts = Limited Experience; 0 pts = No Experience) 4. Description of experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Score given based on how project design incorporates a complete description of the following criteria (2 pts = Complete description; 1 pt = Incomplete description; 0 pts = No description) Eligibility criteria				
(15 pts = Extensive Experience; 10 pts = Moderate Experience; 5 pts = Limited Experience; 0 pts = No Experience) 4. Description of experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Score given based on how project design incorporates a complete description of the following criteria (2 pts = Complete description; 1 pt = Incomplete description; 0 pts = No description) Eligibility criteria 2 Process for accepting new participants 2 Process and criteria for exiting participants 2 Demonstration that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity) How project addresses situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases 5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds. Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency;	Section II: Experience		Section Score:	
Limited Experience; 0 pts = No Experience) 4. Description of experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Score given based on how project design incorporates a complete description of the following criteria (2 pts = Complete description; 1 pt = Incomplete description; 0 pts = No description) Eligibility criteria				
Score given based on how project design incorporates a complete description of the following criteria (2 pts = Complete description; 1 pt = Incomplete description; 0 pts = No description) Eligibility criteria 2		15		
Complete description; 1 pt = Incomplete description; 0 pts = No description) Eligibility criteria 2	4. Description of experience with utilizing a Housing First approach.			
Process for accepting new participants 2 Process and criteria for exiting participants 2 Demonstration that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation or genderidentity) How project addresses situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases 5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds. Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pto profice of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3		of the following	criteria (2 pts =	
Process and criteria for exiting participants Demonstration that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity) How project addresses situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases 5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds. Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency) 6. Description of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	Eligibility criteria	2		
Process and criteria for exiting participants Demonstration that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity) How project addresses situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases 5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds. Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency) 6. Description of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	Process for accepting new participants	2		
Demonstration that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity) How project addresses situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases 5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds. Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency) 6. Description of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3		2		
assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases 5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds. Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Pa	Demonstration that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived	2		
Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency) 6. Description of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only inmost severe cases	2		
in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past Proficiency) 6. Description of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3 1 = Poorly-described plan; 0 = No plan described) Plans to prevent persons from becoming homeless post-project exit (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	5. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds.			
6. Description of the determination of type, amount, and duration of rental assistance for participants. Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3 1 = Poorly-described plan; 0 = No plan described) Plans to prevent persons from becoming homeless post-project exit (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	in utilizing federal funds. (5 pts = Extensive Past Proficiency; 3 pts = Moderate Past Proficiency; 1 pt = Limited Past Proficiency; 0 pts = No Past	5		
Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following philosophies and plans to prevent homelessness: Use of the progressive engagement philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3		sistance for parti	cipants.	
(2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Use of the strength-based philosophy (2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3 1 = Poorly-described plan; 0 = No plan described) Plans to prevent persons from becoming homeless post-project exit (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	Score according to how well the response describes the use of the following p	•	·	
(2 = Complete description; 1 = Incomplete description; 0 = No description) Plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3 1 = Poorly-described plan; 0 = No plan described) Plans to prevent persons from becoming homeless post-project exit (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3		3		
(3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3 1 = Poorly-described plan; 0 = No plan described) Plans to prevent persons from becoming homeless post-project exit (3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3		3		
(3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 3	(3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan; 1 = Poorly-described plan; 0 = No plan described)	3		
	(3 = Well-described plan; 2 = Fairly-described plan;	3		

Section III: Project Effectiveness	Possible	Section Score:	
	Points: 30		
7. What would be the prioritization process for households referred to this project? How will it be determined			
who is most vulnerable and the best fit for any referrals to this project? Provide	detail from polic	cy established	
by the CoC.			
Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate understanding			
of prioritization and pairing households based on project eligibility.	5		
(5 pts = Extensive Process; 3 pts = Adequate Process;			
1 pt = Poor Process; 0 pts = No Process)			

8. Will all participating households served in this project be recorded in HMIS or an equivalent database for domestic violence, in accordance with the community's Data Quality Standards? (Pass/Fail)	5/0		
9. Description of a plan for rapid implementation of the project, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.			
Score according to how extensive the project plan is (5 pts = Extensive Plan; 3 pts = Adequate Plan; 1 pt = Poor Plan; 0 pts = No Plan)	5		
Score according to how detailed the schedules for proposed activities are (5 pts = Very Detailed; 3 pts = Moderately Detailed; 1 pt = Poorly Detailed; 0 pts = No Details)	5		
10. Describe the training applicant and sub-applicant staff have undergone or will undergo as well as agency policies or procedures related to diversity, equity, and inclusion as it pertains to service provision.			
Score according to the variety and range of training opportunities (5 pts = Numerous Opportunities; 3 pts = Some Varied Opportunities; 1 pt = Few Opportunities; 0 pts = No Details)	5		
Score according to how detailed the policies and procedures are (5 pts = Very Detailed Policies; 3 pts = Moderately Detailed Policies; 1 pt = Poorly Detailed Policies; 0 pts = No Details)	5		

Section IV: Organizational Capacity	Possible Points: 20	Section Score:	
11. Describe agency key staff positions and qualifications of individuals who will carry out the project			
Score according to how detailed key staff descriptions are			
(5 pts = Very Detailed; 3 pts = Moderately Detailed; 1 pt = Poorly Detailed; 0 pts = No Details)	5		
Score according to how qualified staff are to executive project			
(5 pts = Very Qualified; 3 pts = Moderately Qualified; 1 pt = Poorly Qualified; 0 pts = Not at all qualified)	5		
12. Describe the agency's financial management system, including financial rep	oorting, record ke	eeping,	
accounting systems, payment procedures, procurement processes, and audit r	equirements.		
Score based on completeness of financial management system with respect to each identified component	10		
(10 pts = Complete System; 5 pts = Partially-Complete System; 0 pts = None			
of financial management system components are described)			
Section V: Project Budget	Possible Points: 40	Section Score:	
13. Do project costs appear reasonable when compared to project costs of similar project types?			
(5 pts = Very Reasonable; 3 pts = Somewhat Reasonable; 1 pt = Not			
Reasonable; 0 pts = Completely Unreasonable)	5		
14. Audit			
a. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices (Pass/Fail)	3/0		
b. Most recent audit identified agency as "low risk" (Pass/Fail)	3/0		
c. Most recent audit indicates no findings (Pass/Fail)	4/0		
15. Documented match amount (Pass/Fail)	5/0		

16. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.		
Reasonable (6 pts = Very Reasonable; 3 pts = Somewhat Reasonable; 1 pt = Not Reasonable; 0 pts = Completely Unreasonable)	6	
Allocable (6 pts= All costs are allocable; 3 pts = Costs are 50/50 allocable and not allocable; 0 pts = Costs are not at all allocable)	6	
Allowable (8 pts = All costs are allowable; 4 pts = Costs are 50/50 allowable and not-allowable; 0 pts = Costs are not at all allowable)	8	

Completion of Application		Possible Points: 0 Deductions: -15	Section Score:
Are all required attachments provided?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	
Is the application complete and accurate?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	
Was the application submitted by the deadline?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	