

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING MEETING MINUTES

October 10, 2019 1:00-2:30

Facilitator:	Casey Gordon			
Meeting Attendees:	Executive committee members: Casey Gordon, Beverly Ryskamp, Deanna			
	Rolffs, Karen Tjapkes			
	Community members: Pam Parriott, Mark Woltman			
	Staff: Wende Randall, Courtney Myers-Keaton, Brianne Czyzio Robach			
Time Convened:	1:05	Time Adjourned:	2:48	

Approval of Agenda		October 10, 2019		
Motion by:	Beverly	Support from:	Deanna	
Discussion				
Amendments				
Conclusion	All in favor by acclamation with no dissent			
Approval of Minutes		September 5, 2019		
Motion by:	Deanna	Support from:	Beverly	
Discussion				
Amendments	Community Rebuilders Presentation: should indicate that they are "seeing the same things that the workgroup identified" Funding Review Process: should indicate, "In the future, the funding review process should be revisited several months prior to the next reapplication round."			
Conclusion	All in favor by acclamation with no dissent			
KConnect Presentation	on			

Rediffeet i resentat

Discussion

Pam and Mark attended the meeting to update Executive Committee on the status of the work. The Design Team has developed a purpose and mission statement and identified that major racial disparities exist across all areas of life throughout Kent County. In addition, the Design Team has developed a summary of themes and root causes based on community research. These include:

- The system lacks common purpose and shared perspective.
- There are gaps in understanding of community need. There is consensus that the needs do not meet capacity, but unclear how these would meet.
- Lack of innovation and creativity leads to systems stagnation
- System information is not flowing out to the community. Kent County members interact with the information in different ways, but there is not cohesive information provided to the community.
- Ineffective analysis of system information.
- While there is an abundance of organizational creativity and innovation, there is a lack of system creativity and innovation.
- Organizations are not effectively collaborating



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING MEETING MINUTES

October 10, 2019 1:00-2:30

- The system is not driven by lived experience experts and is influenced by a select group of stakeholders with power. How do you move the voice of those with lived experience with little power to a position where they have power?
- The system holds extreme racial disparities

Mark clarified that talking about systems, the Design Team talking about the overall housing system, not just the CoC/homelessness system. However, it is important to think about how the systems are interconnected. Courtney indicated that there is outcome-related data available from system performance measures that can be shared. Aggregate data is available, but project-specific data would need to come through individual organizations. The Design Team has been looking the 6 Conditions of Systems Change, looking at structures in the community that can be changed. Pam indicted that the goal of this KConnect process is not about rearranging parts of the system but ensuring that the system has access that works for all persons.

The Design Team has been working to identify a series of systemic root causes within the system:

- The belief that homelessness is an individual rather than a systemic problem.
- The system in based on scarcity, not prevention. Resource constraints lead to crisis mode/ triage system management.
- Model and process are not customer centric.
- The system lacks a cohesive housing strategy across the spectrum.
- The system lacks a common goal, philosophy, narrative, language, and alignment among providers.
- Racist policies and practices combined with cultural racism lead to inequities in housing and homelessness services.
- Strategies and responses to homelessness are divorced from larger economic forces
- Historical and systemic racism and generational poverty are root causes of overrepresentation of people of color in crisis.

The design team is working to draft a common agenda and system map. Mark shared that one recommendation will likely be the need for a convener to continue the work. Casey noted that a convener could shift the work to a larger scope of policy and advocacy as compared to the CoC's limited scope. Beverly noted the importance of thinking through desired qualities in a convener before making recommendations.

There was conversation around values and resources in the community. Mark noted that if the community can come up with a solid vision for a future state, and then braid present and future federal and philanthropic funding sources. There was conversation around the importance of being intentional about who is staff/leading/on the board of the convening partner. There was conversation around the difference between having representation from customers versus being driven by individuals served by the system. Pam noted that the narrative influences the mental model shift.



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING MEETING MINUTES

October 10, 2019 1:00-2:30

Beverly noted that there are significant structures in place around fair housing on the federal level. One strategy could be enforcement for these policies for federal funds coming into the community. Currently, all funded agencies have strict nondiscrimination policies they must uphold.

The December HAPC meeting will be December 6 from 8:00 – noon. Pam indicated that KConnect would be happy to provide any information that would be helpful in the strategic planning process. Mark encouraged the CoC to think about systemic causes and how this can be addressed. KConnect will be at the October Steering to present. Brianne will ask Steering members to provide questions prior to the presentation. In looking towards a convening partner, members agreed that it would be important for the group to get an update from KConnect each month to clarify roles and how the roles are interconnected. Wende can provide an update to Executive next month.

Action Items	Person Responsible	Deadline
Ask Steering Council members to provide questions prior	Brianne Czyzio	
to the October meeting	Robach	
Provide KConnect Update to Executive	Wende Randall	Nov. Exec
Strategic Planning Conversation		

Discussion

At the last Steering, the council discussed potentially broadening the strategic planning process. Executive members agreed that the process needs to move forward even though it may be flawed. Courtney noted that the Non-Profit Technical Assistance is not an option as the deadline has passed.

Deanna indicated that the group needs to move forward even though the process is not perfect. She suggested that Executive bring 2 options to Steering Council: continue with what we have or ask who can pitch in additional funds. Executive could present these two options. Members agreed that there needs to be a vote at the Steering Council meeting whether the RFP should go through, acknowledging that it is not ideal.

Action Items	Person Responsible	Deadline

Succession Planning

Discussion

In the past, the group has discussed concerns that Executive Committee membership changes each year. Some of this was compounded by staggering terms. One piece of discussion that has come up is preparing people for the role of chair. Another piece of conversation is the role of Nominating Committee. Karen noted that at some point staff started performing the Nominating Committee roles outlined in the charter. Members agreed that it would be great if there was a group that would seek out people who are not currently represented - i.e.: the healthcare sector, law enforcement, others. This group could also prepare a slate of Executive officers for recommendation. Executive decided to look into adopting the model of electing a chair-elect instead of a vice chair so that there is consistently one person on Executive. In order to do this before the upcoming Executive elections, the ideas would need to be introduced in October, vote at Steering in November, and approved at full CoC in December. Also, there was conversation around changing the role of treasurer to member-atlarge. Perhaps the secretary role could submit minutes for official review by the committee.



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING MEETING MINUTES

October 10, 2019 1:00-2:30

Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline		
Governance Charter Amendments					
Discussion					
Courtney noted that there were conversations in the summer about changing the charter. Any changes would need to be developed to give CoC members time to review changes. Any changes					
would would need to be voted on at the November					
approved at the December full CoC meeting.		,	,		
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline		
		2.22			
Letters of Support Process					
Discussion					
The LIHTC Letter of Support process is in place, but t	there is r	no process for other age	ncies seeking		
letters of support. Courtney would like to hear othe			_		
and send to the group for review. This could be a pla	_		_		
practices. For example, one question could focus on	what th	e organization is doing t	o ensure that		
policies and practices are not discriminatory, and th	is could	be added as a significan	t conversation at		
all steps in the process. Decision-making processes a	at agenci	ies may also come into p	olay with this		
conversation.					
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline		
Draft Letter of Support policy and send to Executive	to	Courtney Myers-			
review		Keaton			
October Full CoC Agenda					
Discussion					
1. Community Rebuilders Presentation - ask for or	ne-pager	with the project descrip	otion, ask for the		
community, timeline, anticipated capacity - ess	-	-			
rest of the system around this funding and how			ropping off.		
2. Family Homelessness Task Force update (Fultor	n Manor		1		
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline		
October Steering Council Agenda					
Discussion					
1. KConnect Presentation					
2. Dashboard Presentation					
3. Review of CoC Committee and Action Board Policies					
4. Succession Planning					
5. Budget review		Daman Day or allel	Decalling:		
Action Items		Person Responsible	Deadline		