



**2016 COC PROGRAM COMPETITION
RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATION
HOUSING PROJECTS**

AGENCY PROFILE	
Legal Name of Agency	Heartside Nonprofit Housing Corporation
Project Name	Ferguson Apartments
Contact Person	Alonda Trammell
Title	Director of Support Services
Address	101 Sheldon Blvd. SE Ste. 2, Grand Rapids, MI 49503
Email	atrammell@dwellingplacegr.org
Phone	616-454-0928

Check one:

- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Rapid Re-Housing
- Transitional Housing

Authorized Representative: *I hereby certify that the information contained in this proposal is true and accurate. Any falsification of information will render the application void, and the application will not be accepted. This application has been reviewed and authorized for submission by the agency's board of directors as of the date indicated.*

Name: Dennis Sturtevant	Title: President
Date of Board Authorization:	
Date of Anticipated Board Authorization:	8/3/2016

All projects requesting renewal must demonstrate they have met minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards to be considered for funding.

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Provide a narrative describing how the project’s performance met the plans and goals established in the current project’s application the project’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living, and record of success. (Include target populations and preferences as specified and/or allowed by the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) under which the project was initially funded.)

Ferguson Apartments provides 101 units of permanent supportive housing for individuals who are homeless with disabilities, 70% of these units are dedicated for the chronically homeless, and the other 30% will be prioritized for chronically homeless. With one exception Ferguson Apartments operates as a low barrier, Housing First Project, whereby it does not deny an individual entry into the program based on income, substance use, credit, or domestic violence, nor does it mandate that a resident must meet with the available on-site support services, or terminate their housing for failure to increase/obtain their income, abide by a service plan, or for being a victim of domestic violence. The one exception or reason that Ferguson Apartments does not technically qualify as Housing First is because it does screen a prospective program participant’s criminal history (other than for state-mandated restrictions). In all other categories of the low barrier, Housing First definition, Ferguson Apartments meets the criteria. Ferguson Apartments provides on-site case management services so as to better connect residents with mainstream services such as signing up for non-cash benefits and assistance obtaining income, as well as helping residents obtain pertinent disability service assistance. In addition, our case managers provide and/or facilitate on-site life skills training in various fields including but not limited to, employment, health care awareness, nutrition, women’s groups, and social activities. This project which was originally funded under the 2001 NOFA, has continually met HUD performance measures from year to year. As of the last Annual Performance Report, Ferguson Apartments has exceeded its HUD Housing Stability Measure goal of 80% with 88.71% of its residents who remained in the project as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing during the operating year. It also exceeded the HUD Total Income Measure goal of 50% with 76.61% of its residents who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit. Lastly, the project had 8.33% of its residents accomplish the Earned Income Measure whereby they maintained or increased their earned income (i.e., employment income) as of the end of the operating year or program exit. Perhaps the most telling statistic speaks to the project’s success rate of truly providing a permanent stable home for its residents, as 48% of the project’s residents have lived at the project for more than three years, and of these individuals 30% have called Ferguson Apartments home for more than five years.

2. Has the project had any significant changes since the last funding approval (HUD FY 2015),
 No if “yes” complete the chart below to indicate the change.

Check change type		Previous	New
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decrease in the number of persons served		

<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in number of units		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in project site location		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in target population		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in component type		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in grantee/applicant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item or cost category budget changes more than 10%		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Click here to enter text.		
If change was made include as many of the following that apply as attachments to your application:			
Attached (check)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Attachment: Written communication to HUD requesting the significant change		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Attachment: HUD's written approval of the change requested		
<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A: HUD has not yet provided written approval of the requested change		

SECTION I: CoC Priority Populations

3. What percentage of the households served were chronically homeless? (HMIS Report)

59% (17/29)

4. What percentage of the households included at least one Veteran? (APR 21)

4% (5/124)

5. What percentage of the households were headed by a youth aged 18-24? (APR 16)

2% (2/124)

6. What percentage of the households served were families with children? (APR 9)

0%

7. What percentage of the households served had experienced domestic violence? (APR 19.a.)

15% (18/124)

Low Barrier and Housing First

8. Low Barrier

Does the project ensure that participants are NOT screened out (or denied project entry) due to the following:	
Having too little or not enough income	Yes
Active substance use or history of substance abuse	Yes
Having a criminal record (other than for state-mandated restrictions)	No
Domestic violence (requiring survivor to take specific actions or demonstrate	Yes

distance from assailant)	
--------------------------	--

9. Housing First

In addition to the answers above, a project must also answer affirmatively to the following questions to qualify as Housing First.

Does the project work to ensure that participants are NOT terminated from the program due to the following:	
Failure to participate in supportive services	Yes
Failure to make progress on a service plan	Yes
Loss of income or failure to improve income	Yes
Being a victim of domestic violence	Yes
Any other activity not typically covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area.	Yes
Does the project quickly move participants into permanent housing?	Yes

Section II: HUD Priorities

Permanent Housing

10. Is this a permanent housing (PH) project that is requesting funds for housing (i.e. rental assistance or leasing funds)? **No**

11. a. Total request for housing activities (leased units, leased structures, and/or rental assistance): 0 – Although the project does not request, and as a consequence receive, any funds for leasing or rental assistance from HUD, the project does have a HAP contract with MSHDA which subsidizes, or provides rental assistance, for 100 of the 101 units in the project, thus providing the same benefit to residents (ie. rent that is based on 30% of their income) as rental assistance provided by HUD. According to the Homeless Policy and Program Priorities A.3.b. of the FY 2016 NOFA, found on page 8, HUD encourages communities, and consequently projects, to increase permanent supportive housing units via this very type of partnership with PHAs. In the case of Ferguson Apartments, the rental assistance provided by this HAP contract with MSHDA accounts for \$561,600 in funding.
- b. Total award (support services, operating cost, etc) plus administrative costs requested (not including match): \$63,000
- c. Percentage of total budget devoted to housing activities (10.a. ÷ 10.b.): 0%

Prioritization of Chronic Homelessness in PSH—Questions 10 and 11 are for Permanent Supportive Housing Projects Only

12. Will the organization adopt the HUD CPD Notice on Prioritization? **Yes**

13. a. Is the project dedicated to chronic homelessness in 100% of its units? **No**

b. What percentage of the project's non-dedicated beds are prioritized for chronically homeless participants?

100%

Targeting Hard to Serve Populations

14. What percentage of participants had:

a. One(1) or more physical or mental health conditions known at entry (APR 18.b. add totals for one, two and three or more conditions then divide by total):

100% (124/124)

b. Two (2) or more physical or mental health conditions known at entry (APR 18.b. add totals in two and three or more conditions divided by total):

52% (65/124)

c. Three (3) or more physical or mental health conditions known at entry (APR 18.b. total in three or more conditions divided by total):

14% (17/124)

15. What percentage of the adults served had less than \$500 income a month at entry? (APR 23. Add values for No Income through \$251-\$500 and divide by Total in last row):

43% (35+1+9+8/124)

Impact on Homelessness

16. Please evaluate how the project would impact homelessness in the CoC if it were not awarded funding through this competition.

<input type="checkbox"/>	The project would close and individuals would immediately become homeless if it were to not be funded.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Loss of funding would result in loss of housing options and could mean eventual displacement or increase in homelessness.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of funding would negatively impact services and resources but not a clear loss of housing options.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of funding would minimally impact the number of housing options or resources available.

Section IV. Project Performance

Performance Data

17. What is the project's utilization rate? (Quarterly Point- in-Time Counts in APR 9. New Projects will only use average of last two quarters in the operating year.)

96% (97.25/101)

18. Did 100% of project head of households enter from an eligible homeless situation? (APR 20.a.1-3)

Yes. Although five individuals entered the project from institutional settings, and three from other locations, all of these individual's former housing situations met the eligible program participant homeless HUD definition at the time of their entry. Of these eight individuals, two entered the project in 2002, three in 2004, one in 2005, and two in 2009.

19. What percentage of project Leavers exited to a known destination? (APR 29.a.1-2 ÷ Total Leavers APR 7.)

100% (24/24)

20. What percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing destination?

59% (10/17)

21. All Projects: What percentage of project leavers had increased earned income at project exit? (APR 24.b.2.total / 7. Total Leavers)

79% (15+4/24)

22. All Projects: What percentage of project leavers were receiving mainstream benefits at project exit? (APR 26.a.2. total / 7. Total Leavers)

100% (24/24)

23. PSH Projects Only: What percentage of participants leaving project remained in the project 6 months or longer? (APR 27)

96% (23/24)

HMIS Participation

24. Within HMIS, what is the number of Universal Data Elements (UDE) with 5% or more null or missing values?

0

Efficient Use of Funding

25. Expenditure of Funds: Use last completed HUD FY year. Projects that have not completed a grant year should not answer.

a. Total amount awarded	\$63,000
b. Total amount spent	\$63,000
c. Percentage spent Divide answer b. by answer a.	100%

HUD Monitoring

26. a. Is the recipient free of HUD monitoring findings for all the agency's projects? **Yes**

If no, explain below findings in detail for the Funding Review Panel. Include details on the nature of the finding, resolution and corrective actions taken, if any.

b. Has your organization been monitored by HUD in the past three (3) years? **Yes**

If yes, include as attachments: Monitoring report from HUD, your organization’s response to any findings, documentation from HUD that finding or concern has been satisfied, and any other relevant documentation.

If no, provide most recent monitoring by an entity other than HUD for federal or state funding (ESG, CDBG, etc) and include as attachments: Monitoring report, your organization’s response to any findings, documentation from HUD that finding or concern has been satisfied, and any other relevant documentation.

Financial Information

27. What is the percent of leveraging funds of the total requested funds? 866%
Complete Leveraging worksheet, Attachment A.

PROJECT BUDGET

Activity	Requested Funds	% of Requested Funds	Other Funding	Total Project Cost
Acquisition		%		
New Construction		%		
Rehabilitation		%		
Leasing		%		
Rental Assistance		%		
Supportive Services	60,000	95 %	\$15,000	\$75,000
Operating Costs		%		
HMIS		%		
Project Administration (limited to 7%)	3,000	5 %	\$750	\$3,750
Total Project Cost	63,000		\$15,750	\$78,750

Attachment A

Identify all match and leveraging funds. Only those dollars or non-cash contributions (in-kind) that directly support the project should be listed. This may include federal, state, or local government funds, private funds, grants, and/or other sources, including donations. Worksheet should reflect information in eSnaps application.

Resource	Cash or In Kind	Committed or Planned/ Pending	Available (MM/YY)	Amount/ Value	% of HUD Project Award	Serves as CoC Program Match? (Y/N)
Section 8 Rental Assistance	Cash	Committed	09/15	\$15,750	25%	Yes
Section 8 Rental Assitance	Cash	Committed	09/15	\$545,850	866%	No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%.	Yes/No
Total leveraged from other sources				\$561,600	891%	

Attach additional forms as necessary

Attachment B

Attachments listed below are required but unscored. Failure to include any documentation that is required may result in application being out of the competition.

All projects must include:

Annual Progress Report (APR) for the project's most recent completed contract year. (If a full year has not yet been completed for the project, attach an APR with an end date of 6/25/2015) Other structured outcome report for non-HMIS participating agencies are allowed (i.e. domestic violence agencies).

Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS) report showing drawdowns and final balance

Project Application submitted in *e-snaps*

Each applicant must include one of the following two:

Monitoring report from US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Monitoring report from an entity other than HUD for federal or state funding (ESG, CDBG, etc)

If relevant include:

Organization's response to any findings

Documentation from HUD (or other entity) that finding or concern has been satisfied

Any other relevant documentation

Written communication to HUD requesting the significant change indicated in question 2.

HUD's written approval of the change requested in question 2.

Attachment C

HUD General Section Certificates

The agency certifies to the Grand Rapids Area Coalition to End Homelessness that it and its principals are in compliance with the following requirements as indicated by checking the box.

- Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity*. See CFR 578.93 for specific requirements related to Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity.
- Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity*. See the Federal Register dated February 1, 2012, Docket No. FR 5359-F-02 and Section VI.B.2. of the General Section.
- Debarment and Suspension*. See Section III.C.4.c. of the FY 2015 General Section. Additionally, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that all subrecipients are not debarred or suspended. (24 CFR 578.23((3)(c)(4)(v).d. Delinquent Federal Debts. See Section III.C.4.a. of the FY 2013 General Section.
- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights*. See Section III.C.3.a. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Executive Order 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency (LEP)*. See Section III.C.3.d. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-income Persons (Section 3)*. See Section III.C.3.c. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Real Property Acquisition and Relocation*. See Section VI.B.4. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Core Values and Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct*. See Section III.C.3.f. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities*. See Section III.C.3.h. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Participation in HUD-Sponsored Program Evaluation*. See Section VI.B.6. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Environmental Requirements*. Notwithstanding provisions at 24 CFR 578.31 and 24 CFR 578.99(a) of the CoC Program interim rule, and in accordance with Section 100261(3) of MAP-21 (Pub. L. 112-141, 126 Stat. 405), activities under this NOFA are subject to environmental review by a responsible entity under HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58.
- Drug-Free Workplace*. See Section VI.B.9. of the FY 2015 General Section. n. Safeguarding Resident/Client Files. See Section VI.B.10 of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 209-282) (Transparency Act), as amended*. See Section VI.B.11. of the FY 2015 General Section.
- Lead-Based Paint Requirements*. For housing constructed before 1978 (with certain statutory and regulatory exceptions), CoC Program recipients must comply with the requirements of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. 4801, et seq.), as amended by the Residential Lead-Based

Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 4851, et seq.); and implementing regulations of HUD, at 24 CFR part 35; the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at 40 CFR part 745, or State/Tribal lead rules implemented under EPA authorization; and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration at 29 CFR 1926.62 and 29 CFR 1910.1025.

Attestation that all attachments as required by HUD are uploaded in *e-snaps*. See Notice of Funding Availability for the 2015 Continuum of Care Program Competition FR-5900-N-25; Section VI. C. 2.

This list is not exhaustive of all HUD requirements. Applicants are encouraged to review the 2015 General Section, found at:

http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/administration/grants/fundsavail/2015gensec to ensure eligibility.

Agency: **Heartside Nonprofit Housing Corporation**

Acknowledged By: **Dennis Sturtevant**

Title: President

Date: 7/15/2016