

## 2016 COC PROGRAM COMPETITION NEW and BONUS PROJECT SCORECARD HOUSING PROJECTS

## Applicant and Project Name:

## Rater Name:

Project Quality Requirements					
Renewal projects must receive the minimum score in each section. If a minimum is not met, further review will be required. After review, the Funding Review Panel will recommend potential actions, up to and including loss or reduction in funding.  Maximum Sco Possible  Total Project S			88		
		re			
Section I: Project Overview	Possible 78		e Points:	Section Score:	
1. Description of project: does it meet the needs of the comm	nunity and person	s experie	encing hon	nelessness?	
Score given according to how well the project design has been thought out and described to meet the needs of the target population.		0	-10		
2. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal fun	ds.				
Score according to how well applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds.		(	)-5		
3. What is the target population of the project?					
	Chronic homeless		8		
	Veterans		8		
	Families		5		
	Youth (18-25)		5		
4. Description of the determination of type, amount and dur	ation of rental assi	stance f	or participa	ants.	
Answers should explain use of the progressive engagement and strength based philosophies as well as plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness or becoming homeless post project exit.		0	-10		
5. Description of how participants will be assisted to obtain and maintain permanent housing.					
Score according to the description of the plan to obt housing, any evidence suggesting that the plan will like		0	-10		
6. Description of how participants will be assisted to increase	e employment/inc	ome.			
Score according to the description of the plan to incre evidence suggesting that the plan will like		0	-10		
8. Describe how participants will have access to the project.					
Full points for taking all referrals from coordinated assess prioritization. Zero points for anything less than f	ull commitment.	0 0	or 10		
9. Will all participating households served in this project be r		Yes – 5			
or an equivalent database for Domestic Violence, in accorda	nce with the	N.L.	. 0		
community's Data Quality Standards?		INC	0 – 0		
10. Will at least 75% of project participants come from the st	•	Ye	s <b>–</b> 5		
places not fit for human habitation, emergency shelters, or f violence?	leeing domestic	No	0 – 0		

11. Does the project commit to taking all referrals through the community's	Standard Met:	
Coordinated Assessment process?	Y/N	
12. Housing First – Low Barrier: Are all questions answered "yes"?	Standard Met:	
12. Housing First – Low Barrier. Are all questions answered yes !	Y/N	
13. Housing First: Are all questions answered "yes"?	Standard Met:	
15. Housing First. Are all questions answered yes!	Y/N	
14. Are the elements of Housing First reflected throughout the project's	0 – 5	
design?	0-3	

Section III: Organizational Capacity	Possible Points: 10	Section Score:		
16. Description of agency key staff positions and qualifications of individuals who will carry out the project				
Does it appear this project will be adequately staffed?	0-5			
17. Description of the agency's financial management system				
Answer should include financial reporting, record keeping, accounting	0 – 5			
systems, payment procedures, procurement processes, and audit	0-3			
requirements				
18. Does the agency employ or contract services of an accountant who is	Standard Met:			
familiar with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?	Y/N			
19. Does the agency obtain an annual audit by an independent certified public accountant?	Standard Met: Y/N			

Completion of Application	Possible Points:	Section Score:
	Deductions: -10	
Are all required attachments provided?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
Was the application submitted by the deadline?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	