



2016 COC PROGRAM COMPETITION RENEWAL PROJECT SCORECARD HOUSING PROJECTS

Applicant and Project Name:

Rater Name:

Project Quality Requirements		
Renewal projects must ensure they continue to meet HUD thresholds for funding.	Maximum Score Possible	125
	Total Project Score	
	Weighted Rating Score	

Section I: Project Effectiveness	Possible Points: 70	Section Score:
3. How many beds are dedicated/prioritized for priority population(s)?		
Veterans	Prioritized by Ranking Tool	
Chronic		
Domestic Violence		
Youth		
Families		
4. Does the project qualify as low barrier?		
Yes	4	
No	0	
5. Does the project meet all Housing First criteria?		
Yes	6	
No	0	
6. Did the project take 100% of all referrals from Coordinated Entry in the past grant year? (Or community process if Category 4 homelessness) (Verified by HMIS Staff, next year verified by HMIS Reports)		
Yes	10	
No	0	
Efficient Use of Funding: These question are for projects that have been operating for at least one year at the time of the NOFA release		
7. What is the project's utilization rate?		
95% or higher	5	
80%-94%	0	
79% or lower	-5	
8. Percent of funding recaptured in last completed grant year		
a. Amount authorized in most recent completed HUD grant year		
b. Remaining balance in eLOCCS		
7% or less	5	
8% or more	0	
9. Were drawdowns made at least quarterly?		
Yes	5	
No	0	
HMIS Participation (Or alternate database for domestic violence projects)		

10. Percentage of APR Data Quality Elements (6a.-6d.) with 5% or less null or missing values		
85% or greater	5	
Less than 84%	0	
HUD Monitoring Findings		
11. Does the recipient have any HUD monitoring findings in any of the agency's projects? If yes, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Funding Review Panel for the application to meet standards.	Standard Met: Yes/No	
Impact on Homelessness		
12. Evaluate how the project would impact homelessness in the CoC if it were not awarded funding through this competition.		
The project would close and ____ individuals would immediately become homeless if it were to not be funded.		Not Scored Taken into consideration in a tie score situation
Loss of funding would result in loss of housing options and could mean eventual displacement or increase in homelessness.		
Loss of funding would negatively impact services and resources but not a clear loss of housing options.		
Loss of funding would minimally impact the number of housing options or resources available.		
13. Is this project the only CoC funded project with dedicated beds to a particular target population? (Answered by Funding Review based on all submitted applications)		
Yes		Not Scored Taken into consideration in a tie score situation
No		
Serving High Need Populations		
15. What percentage of the households met "hard to serve" criteria defined as having zero income at Start/entry? (APR 23)		
PSH		
80% or more	10	
70%-79%	8	
50%-69%	5	
Less than 50%	0	
RRH & TH		
50% or more	10	
40%-49%	8	
30%-39%	5	
Less than 30%	0	
16. What percentage of participants met "hard to serve" criteria defined as having two or more physical or mental health conditions known at Start/entry? (APR 13.A.2)		
PSH		
More than 50%	10	
30%-49%	8	
10%-29%	5	
Less than 10%	0	
RRH & TH		
More than 10%	10	
5%-10%	5	
Less than 5%	0	
17. What percentage of the households served were chronically homeless? (APR Q26a.)		

PSH			
	80% or more	10	
	70%-79%	8	
	50%-69%	5	
	Less than 50%	0	
RRH & TH			
	15% or more	10	
	10%-14%	8	
	5%-9%	5	
	1%-4%	2	
	Less than 1%	0	

Section II: Project Performance		Possible Points: 55	Section Score:
		Possible Deductions: -30	
Performance Data			
18. Length of Stay			
PSH—Percentage of leavers that remained in project more than 180 days (APR 22a.1)			
	95% and more	20	
	85%-94%	15	
	75%-84%	10	
	65%-74%	5	
	55%-64%	0	
RRH—Percentage of participants that took 30 days or less from project entry to lease up (CAPER 22C)			
	80%-100%	20	
	60%-79%	15	
	40%-59%	10	
	20%-39%	5	
	0%-19%	0	
TH- Average length of project stay in days (APR 22b)			
	180 or less	20	
	181-270	15	
	271-390	10	
	391-728	5	
	More than 728 days	0	
19. Exits to Permanent Housing			
PSH- Percent who remain in or move to PH			
	95% or higher	25	
	90%-94%	20	
	85%-89%	15	
	80%-84%	10	
	75%-79%	5	
	Less than 75%	0	
RRH & TH- Percent who exit to PH			
	95% or higher	25	
	90%-94%	20	
	85%-89%	15	
	80%-84%	10	

	75%-79%	5	
	Less than 75%	0	
20. New or Increased Income and Earned Income			
a. PSH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)			
	8% and higher	2.5	
	5%-7%	1.5	
	Less than 5%	0	
b. PSH Project Stayers: New or increased other (non-employment) income (APR 19a.1)			
	50% and higher	2.5	
	25%-49%	1.5	
	Less than 25%	0	
c. PSH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)			
	8% and higher	2.5	
	5%-7%	1.5	
	Less than 5%	0	
c. RRH and TH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)			
	30% and higher	5	
	20%-29%	2.5	
	Less than 20%	0	
d. PSH Project Leavers: New or increased non-employment income (APR 19a.2)			
	50% and higher	2.5	
	25%-49%	1.5	
	Less than 25%	0	
d. RRH and TH Project Leavers: New or increased non-employment income (APR 19a.2)			
	10% and higher	5	
	5%-9%	2.5	
	Less than 5%	0	

Section IV: Completion of Application		Possible Points: 0 Deductions: -20 Minimum: -10	Section Score:
21. Is the application complete and accurate?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	
22. Are all required attachments provided?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	
23. Was the application submitted by the deadline?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-10	