



## 2016 COC PROGRAM COMPETITION RENEWAL PROJECT SCORECARD HOUSING PROJECTS

Applicant and Project Name:

Rater Name:

Project Quality Requirements		
Renewal projects must receive the minimum score in each section. If a minimum is not met, further review will be required. After review, the Funding Review Panel will recommend potential actions, up to and including loss or reduction in funding.	Maximum Score Possible	PSH: 236 Others: 206
	Minimum Score	95
	Total Project Score	

Section I: CoC Priority Populations	Possible Points: 82 Minimum: 30	Section Score:
3. What percentage of the households served by the project were chronically homeless?		
100%	16	
75%-99%	12	
50%-74%	8	
25%-49%	4	
Less than 25%	0	
4. What percentage of the households served by the project included at least one veteran?		
100%	16	
75%-99%	12	
50%-74%	8	
25%-49%	4	
Less than 25%	0	
5. What percentage of the households were headed by a youth aged 18-24?		
Less than 100%	0	
100%	10	
6. What percentage of households served were families with children?		
100%	10	
75%-99%	8	
50%-74%	6	
25%-49%	4	
Less than 25%	0	
7. What percentage of households served had experienced domestic violence?		
Less than 100%	0	
100%	10	
8. Does the project qualify as low barrier?		
Yes	10	
No	0	
9. Does the project meet Housing First criteria?		
Yes	10	
No	0	

<b>Section II: HUD Priorities</b>		Possible Points PSH: 94 Others: 74 Minimum: 30	Section Score:
<b>Permanent Housing</b>			
10. Is this a permanent housing (PH) project that is requesting and funds for housing (rental assistance or leasing funds)?			
	Yes	10	
	No	0	
11 a. Total request (\$) for housing activities (leased units, leased structures, and/or rental assistance):			
b. Total assistance plus admin requested (not including match):			
c. Percentage of total budget devoted to housing activities (housing activities request ÷ total request x 100):			
	Less than 35%	0	
	Between 35% and 54.9%	5	
	Between 55% and 74.9%	10	
	Between 75% and 84.9%	15	
	Between 85% and 100%	20	
<b>Prioritization of Chronic Homelessness in PSH</b>			
12. Will the organization adopt the HUD CPD Notice on Prioritization?			
	Yes	10	
	No	0	
13. a. What percentage of the project's non-dedicated beds are prioritized for chronically homeless participants?			
	85% or above	10	
	N/A or Less than 85%	0	
b. Is the project dedicated to chronic homelessness in 100% of the units?			
	Yes	10	
	No	0	
<b>Targeting Hard to Serve Populations</b>			
14. What percentage of the project participants had:			
a. At least one(1) physical or mental health condition known at entry			
	95% or more	8	
	75%-94%	6	
	50%-74%	4	
	40%-49%	2	
	Less than 40%	0	
b. Two (2) or more physical or mental health conditions known at entry			
	30% or more	8	
	20%-29%	6	
	10%-19%	4	
	5%-9%	2	
	Less than 5%	0	
c. Three (3) or more physical or mental health conditions known at entry			
	10% or more	8	
	5%-9%	6	
	1%-4%	4	
	Less than 1%	0	
15. What percentage of the households served had less than \$500 income a month at entry?			
	70% or more	8	
	60-69%	6	

50%-59%	4	
40%-49%	2	
Less than 40%	0	
<b>Impact on Homelessness</b>		
16. Evaluate how the project would impact homelessness in the CoC if it were not awarded funding through this competition.		
The project would close and ____ individuals would immediately become homeless if it were to not be funded.	12	
Loss of funding would result in loss of housing options and could mean eventual displacement or increase in homelessness.	8	
Loss of funding would negatively impact services and resources but not a clear loss of housing options.	4	
Loss of funding would minimally impact the number of housing options or resources available.	0	

<b>Section III: Project Performance</b>		Possible Points PSH: 60 Others: 50 Possible Deductions: -30 Minimum: 35	Section Score:
<b>Performance Data</b>			
17. What is the project's utilization rate?			
95% or higher	5		
80-94%	0		
0-79%	-5		
18. Did 100% of project head of households enter from an eligible homeless situation?			
Yes	5		
No	-5		
19. All Projects: What percentage of project participants exited to a known destination?			
95% or higher	10		
80-94%	0		
0-79%	-5		
20. All Projects: What percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing destination?			
80% or higher	10		
Below 80%	0		
21. All Projects: What percentage of project leavers had increased earned income at project exit?			
HUD Goal: at least 20%	10		
Below 20%	0		
22. All Projects: What percentage of project leavers were receiving mainstream benefits at project exit?			
HUD Goal: At least 20%	10		
Below 20%	0		
23. PSH Projects: What percentage of project participants remained in the project 6 months or longer?			
HUD Goal: At least 80%	10		
Below 80%	0		
<b>HMIS Participation (Or alternate database for domestic violence projects)</b>			
24. What is the number of Universal Data Elements (UDE) with 5% or more null or missing values?		Standard Met: Yes/No	
<b>Efficient Use of Funding: These question are for projects that have been operating for at least one year at the</b>			

time of the NOFA release		
25. a. Amount Awarded in most recent completed HUD fiscal year:		
b. Amount Spent in that year:		
c. Percentage 90% or more	0	
70%-89%	-5	
69% or less	-10	
HUD Monitoring Findings		
26. Is the recipient free of HUD monitoring findings for all the agency's projects? If no, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Funding Review Panel for the application to meet standards.	Standard Met: Yes/No	

<b>Section IV: Completion of Application</b>	Possible Points:	Section Score:
	0 Deductions: -20 Minimum: -10	
27. Is the application complete and accurate?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
28. Are all required attachments provided?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
29. Was the application submitted by the deadline?		
Yes	0	
No	-10	